

CRM-M-41263-2021

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-41263-2021.
Date of Decision:-04.10.2021.

Pargat Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Rajiv Kumar Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing the impugned FIR No.75 dated 28.06.2017 under Sections 279, 336 of IPC and Section 3 of the Prevention of Damage to Public Property Act, 1984, pending in the Court of Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Yamuna Nagar with all consequential proceedings thereof.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has pointed out that in the present case, the FIR is dated 28.06.2017. It has been stated that in all, there are 06 prosecution witnesses, out of which 03 have already been examined. It has been submitted that on account of pendency of the criminal proceedings, the petitioner is suffering irreparable loss. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further pointed out that the petitioner has serious medical ailment, inasmuch as he is suffering from seizure disorder

CRM-M-41263-2021

and has referred to medical record, which has been annexed as Annexure P-4 with the petition. Learned counsel for the petitioner has prayed that he would be satisfied in case the trial Court is directed to expeditiously decide the matter.

On advance notice, Mr. Praveen Bhadu, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, has appeared on behalf of the respondent-State and has submitted that out of 06 prosecution witnesses, 03 witnesses have been examined and one witness has given up and other two witnesses have been summoned for 06.10.2021.

This Court has heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Keeping in view the above facts and circumstances, moreso, the fact that out of 06 prosecution witnesses, 03 witnesses have been examined and one witness has been given up and the other two witnesses have been called for 06.10.2021 and also the fact that the FIR is of the year 2017 and the petitioner is already suffering from medical ailment, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the trial Court to expedite the matter and conclude the trial and to finally adjudicate the case, as expeditiously as possible.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

October 04, 2021.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Whether Reportable:-

Yes/No

Yes/No