

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

CRWP-9406-2021

Date of Decision: October 07, 2021

NIRANJAN KAUR

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present : Mr. Gagandeep Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Thind, DAG, Punjab.

Through Video Conferencing

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI. J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of direction to the respondents No.1 and 2 for saving the life and liberty of the petitioner and her family members at the hands of the local police in Jalandhar, who are continuously raiding the house of the petitioner without any search warrant and are threatening to implicate the petitioner and family members in false and frivolous case(s).

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the local police in Jalandhar had been repeatedly raiding and visiting the house of the petitioner for no reason and had been harassing the petitioner and her family members and the same is evident from a CCTV camera footage. He submitted that he had given a representation to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar (Rural) vide Annexure P-1 but no action was taken in this regard. The said representation was given by way of a registered post and the receipt of the same is attached as Annexure P-2.

On 01.10.2021, this Court had directed that before proceeding further the learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab shall seek instructions with regard to the averments made by the petitioner in the present petition.

Today the learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab on instructions from ASI Balbir Singh has stated that he has received the instructions from the respondents to State that the police has never visited the house of the petitioner and even does not wish to visit the house of the petitioner in future also and that the representation filed by the petitioner is pending before Ms. Manjeet Kaur, Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar (Rural).

However, the learned counsel for the petitioner has disputed the aforesaid position with regard to the submission made by the learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab that the police never visited or raided the house of the petitioner. He submitted that there is a CCTV camera footage which is available with the petitioner and in the representation (Annexure P-1) the same has been referred to.

In view of the aforesaid disputed position and the CCTV footage referred to by the petitioner, S.S.P., Jalandhar (Rural) is directed to consider the representation (Annexure P-1) filed by the petitioner. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar (Rural) shall look into the representation (Annexure P-1) and, thereafter, shall decide the same in accordance with law within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Needless to say that in case any action is required to be taken against any person, then the same shall be taken in accordance with law.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

October 07, 2021
rajender

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No