

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.19021 of 2020

Date of Decision: March 18, 2021

Manisha Sharma and others

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Sushma Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG Punjab

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that appointment letters have been issued to the petitioners and, thus, so far as the said prayer is concerned, the writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further states that the petitioners have been illegally and wrongfully denied appointment w.e.f. the date others selected in the same selection process had been granted appointment and, thus, their seniority and pay has to be fixed accordingly.

The petitioners are at liberty to make written representation seeking the aforementioned relief, which shall be decided by respondent No.2 within two weeks from receipt thereof.

With the aforementioned observations, the writ petition is disposed of.

March 18, 2021

Poonam Negi

[SUDHIR MITTAL]**JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No****Whether Reportable : Yes/No**