

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 2803 of 2021

Date of Decision: 16.11.2021

Bhola Singh

....Petitioner

VS

Tarsem Kumar Jindal

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL**Present:** Mr. P.S. Jammu, Advocate
for the petitioner

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is the defendant. He had filed an application for recalling the plaintiff alongwith certain record so that he could be examined as a defence witness. The application has been rejected by the trial Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner was asked to point out the relevant rule of procedure which permitted a defendant to examine the plaintiff as his own witness. Learned counsel has failed to do so.

In view of the above, the revision petition has no merit and is dismissed.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**16.11.2021***reena*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :Yes/No
Yes/No