

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

112B

CWP-19500-2020

Date of Decision: 18.1.2021

Canara Bank

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA.  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH.**

PRESENT: Mr. Pancham Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Nikhil Chopra, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Aalok Jagga, Advocate for caveator-respondent No.7.

\*\*\*

**RAJAN GUPTA, J. (Oral)**

Case has been heard through Video Conferencing on account of COVID-19 Pandemic.

Mr. Nikhil Chopra has apprised the court that in the instant writ petition, the matter has been settled between the bank and the private respondents and as no cause of action survives, the writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Aforesaid contention remains uncontroverted. Under these circumstances, we dispose of the writ petition as having been rendered infructuous. The bank, however, shall be at liberty to seek revival of the same if any cause of action survives.

**(RAJAN GUPTA)  
JUDGE**

**January 18, 2021**  
gbs

**(KARAMJIT SINGH)  
JUDGE**

**Whether Speaking/Reasoned**

**Yes/No**

**Whether Reportable**

**Yes/No**