

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

243

CRM-M-34349-2019

DATE OF DECISION: 22.09.2021

DAVINDER SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF U.T. AND ANOTHER

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Damanjeet Bhoriwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, APP, U.T, Chandigarh.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking quashing of FIR No.47 dated 05.03.2019, under Section 279 IPC (Section 3/181 of the M.V. Act, 1988, registered at Police Station East Sector 26, Chandigarh, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2), which has been arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR is outcome of a road accident in which no one was injured. The matter has been compromised. He has referred to the copy of the compromise at Annexure P-2.

A coordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 22.08.2019 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements and send a report as to whether the compromise has been arrived at without any coercion or undue influence. The report of the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Chandigarh, dated 16.09.2019 has been received, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this

Court, the statements of the parties were recorded which indicates that compromise which has been effected is genuine, voluntary and without undue influence.

Heard.

The FIR is outcome of a road accident in which no one was injured and the matter has been been compromised.

In view of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in the case of **Narinder Singh vs. State of Punjab 2014 (6) SCC 466**, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No.47 dated 05.03.2019, under Section 279 IPC (Section 3/181 of the M.V. Act, 1988, registered at Police Station East Sector 26, Chandigarh and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioner(s).

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

22.09.2021

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No