

**206 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.41054 of 2021  
Date of decision : October 05, 2021**

**Jatinder Singh**

**..... Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab**

**..... Respondent**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

**Present:-** Mr. Jitender Singh Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab.

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)**

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for seeking regular bail to the petitioner in respect of FIR No.0120, dated 29.07.2021, registered under Sections 379-B, 324 and 34 IPC at Police Station Mehtiana, District Hoshiarpur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the incident on the basis of which the present FIR was registered is stated to have occurred on 20.07.2021 whereas, the FIR was registered after a period of 9 days and the allegations against the petitioner is that he snatched a bag containing money from the complainant, which allegation is yet to be proved during the trial. Learned counsel submits that as the challan has already been presented, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars, in view of the allegations which has been arrayed in the FIR.

Learned State counsel submits that the challan has already been presented and no injury is attributed to the present petitioner but the

petitioner is alleged to have snatched a sum of Rs.58,000/- from the complainant, though the same was not recovered during the investigation.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have also gone through the record with their valuable assistance.

The allegation of snatching the amount from the complainant is yet to be proved during the trial. The investigation is already over and the trial is likely to take some time to conclude and no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars.

In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, it is directed that the petitioner be released on regular bail, subject to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes that petitioner will not influence trial in any manner including influencing the witnesses and in case of default of the above undertaking, State will be at liberty to approach this Court for passing appropriate orders.

However, it is made clear that anything observed herein shall not be construed to be an expression of any opinion on the merits of the case.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

**October 05, 2021**

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No