

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.19853 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of decision:-30.09.2021

Sanjay Kakkar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present:- Mr. R.A. Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J. (Oral)

This case has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Counsel submits that an industrial plot bearing No. 62-P, Sector 26, Bhiwani, had been allotted in favour of the petitioner earlier in point of time. The entire allotment process came to be challenged and was quashed by this court vide judgment dated 12.11.2009 passed in ***CWP No.2677 of 2008 titled as Babbar Bhan Vs. State of haryana and others.*** This Court at that point of time had directed HUDA (now HSVP) to evolve a criteria, re-assess the comparative merit of the applicants and to thereafter make allotments.

It is the assertion made by counsel that insofar as the present petitioner was concerned there were no allegations pertaining to his allotment raised in the case of ***Babbar Bhan's case (supra).***

Thereafter the matter came for scrutiny before this Court yet

again and certain parameters/criteria was laid down.

In a nutshell case of the petitioner is that by applying the criteria approved by this Court, petitioner has secured 75 marks.

Short grievance raised is that inspite thereof no final decision with regard to issuance of allotment letter has been made till date.

Counsel makes a submission that he would be satisfied if the writ petition were to be disposed of with a direction to the concerned authority to examine his claim in the light of a representation that has already been made.

We find such submission to be just and reasonable.

Without even ascertaining the correctness of the averments made in the petition and without opining on the merits of the case, we dispose of the writ petition with a direction to the Chief Administrator, HUDA (now HSVP) to examine the claim of the petitioner against the backdrop of a representation dated 27.12.2018 (Annexure P-9) which is stated to have already been submitted and to take a final decision thereupon expeditiously and in any case within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

September 30, 2021

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No