

225

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP No.9122 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 08.01.2021

Chhindo Bai

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Parvesh Sachdeva, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagmohan S. Ghumman, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Paras Jhamb, Advocate for respondents No.4 to 8.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

In compliance of the order dated 11.11.2020, affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Fazilka has been filed along with the statement of the detenué i.e. the daughter of the petitioner.

As per the affidavit, the detenué was produced before the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Fazilka on 17.11.2020 where she stated that she is residing in a Temple at Sultanpur Lodhi and she do not want to go with her mother Chhindo Bai. Thereafter, the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Fazilka, sent the detenué to Gandhi Vanita Ashram, Jalandhar, till further orders.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that since 18.12.2020, the custody of the detenué has been handed over to the petitioner and she is residing with her. It is further submitted that though the custody of the detenué has been restored, however, the

CASE HEARD THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING

police has not taken any action on the complaint/representation dated 12.10.2020 (Annexure P-2) regarding the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioner and the detenue.

In view of the above, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioner and her daughter and thereafter, take appropriate action on the complaint/representation dated 12.10.2020 (Annexure P-2), in accordance with law.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

08.01.2021
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No