

207-2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.40918 of 2021 (O&M)

Decided on: 10.12.2021

Sucha Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Maninder Singh Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Sohan Lal Sandhu, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR
No. 101 dated 22.08.2021, registered under Sections 420, 120-B of the
IPC at Police Station City Balachaur, District S.B.S. Nagar.

The operative part of the order dated 30.09.2021, vide
which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is
reproduced as under:-

*“....Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon
the order dated 21.09.2021 passed in CRM-M-39175-
2021, vide which wife of the petitioner, namely
Lakhwinder Kaur, has been granted the concession of
interim anticipatory bail, subject to the condition that she
will appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre
of this Court on 25.10.2021 and will also hand over a
demand draft of Rs.5.00 lacs to complainant Sukhwinder
Singh. It is submitted that the petitioner has already
prepared a demand draft of Rs.5.00 lacs favouring the
complainant.*

Notice of motion....”

Thereafter, the matter was amicably settled between the parties before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court and as per the terms and conditions of the settlement, Rs.5.00 lacs has already been paid and the remaining balance amount will be paid as per Clause 4(d), 4(e) and 4(f) of the settlement arrived at between the parties before the Mediator.

Counsel for the petitioner has also submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 30.09.2021, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 30.09.2021 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

However, it will be open for the complainant to revive this petition if the petitioner fails to comply with or abide by the terms and conditions, which have been settled before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre.

Disposed of.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

10.12.2021

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No