

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM-16680-CWP-2021 in/and
CWP-19414-2018
Date of Decision: 12.11.2021**

Braham Dutt Bali (deceased)
through his LR/son Ved Parkash BaliPetitioner

versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority (HUDA) and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.Mrigank Sharma, Advocate for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate for the respondents.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

This case has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Prayer in the instant application is for withdrawal of the main writ petition.

We may notice that vide order dated 15.09.2021 passed by this Court it was directed that the main writ petition be listed as and when complete physical hearings are resumed.

The main writ petition is taken up on board today itself.

Counsel submits that he has instructions from the petitioner not

to pursue the present writ petition.

We find that challenge in the writ petition was to letter/memo dated 08.05.2018 (Annexure P-1) that had been issued by the Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran on the subject - Settlement of Oustees claims-terms and conditions thereof. Further more, petitioner was seeking a mandamus directing the respondents to continue with the reservation of the plot as had been directed vide Annexures P-7 and P-8 appended along with the writ petition.

Since the categoric submission made by counsel is for withdrawal of the writ petition, we are refraining from commenting on the merits of the case.

As per statement made by counsel for the petitioner, main writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn.

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

**12.11.2021
sunita**

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No