

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(201)

CRM-M-31763-2019
Date of decision:- 13.07.2021

Jeet Singh**... Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana****... Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL**

Present:- Mr. Gurdarshan S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl. AG, Haryana.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

The Court has been convened through video conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

Through the instant petition, the petitioner seek anticipatory bail in FIR No.178 dated 12.07.2019 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 406 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station – Sadar Dabwali, District Sirsa.

On 22.10.2019, this Court passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on 16.06.2015 two agreements were executed, one by petitioner and other by complainant-Kuldip Singh. While the petitioner agreed to sell his land measuring 8 kanals 9 marlas to complainant, he (complainant) agreed to sell his plot and a house with dairy to the petitioner for Rs.55 lakhs. It was a sort of exchange and only the difference of amount in the price of both the properties was to be paid.

Learned State counsel seeks adjournment to

have instructions in this regard and time for the investigating officer to verify the facts stated above.

List on 04.02.2020.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to surrender before the police and join investigation within a week. In the event of his arrest being required, he shall be released on interim bail till the next date, subject to his furnishing bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. However, he shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2)(i) to (iv) Cr.P.C. failing which he shall lose the benefit of interim bail allowed to him. ”

Learned State counsel, upon instructions, from SI Ram Chander submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation. He has further instructions to state that a cancellation report has been prepared on 29.03.2020, though, he does not have any further instruction in this regard.

In view of above and without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. Order dated 22.10.2019 is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

13.07.2021

Kamal

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No