

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

(238)

CRM No. M-41457-2021

Date of Decision : 09.11.2021

Raj Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

***CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI***

Present:- Mr. Sant Kashyap, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Garg, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)**

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 141 dated 02.09.2020, registered under Sections 406, 420, 506 IPC and Section 10 of Immigration Act (Section 370 IPC and Section 24 of Immigration Act added later on) at Police Station Radaur, District Yamuna Nagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the investigation in this case is already over and the challan has already been presented and, therefore, as the trial is likely to take some time before it concludes, keeping the petitioner behind the bar during the period of trial, will serve no justifiable ground and hence, the petitioner may kindly be extended the benefit of regular bail.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Karan Garg, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned State counsel concedes that the investigation is over and the challan has already been presented but submits that he may not be granted bail as there were other cases of similar nature registered against him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though there were other cases registered against the petitioner with similar allegations but the same have already been quashed on the basis of compromise.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

Once, the investigation is already over and the allegations alleged against the petitioner are yet to be proved during the trial, which is likely to take some time, no useful purpose will be achieved in keeping the petitioner behind the bars, especially, when learned counsel for the petitioner has undertaken before this Court that the petitioner will not influence the trial in any manner and will maintain good conduct, if he is granted bail. In case of default of the undertaking, the State will be at liberty to approach this Court for passing appropriate orders.

The petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail in this case subject to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

However, it is made clear that anything observed herein shall not be construed to be an expression of any opinion on the merits of the case.

**November 09, 2021**  
*kanchan*

**( HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI )**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether reasoned/speaking?*    *Yes/No*  
*Whether reportable?*            *Yes/No*