

103 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-40932-2021

Date of Decision : 30.09.2021

Satpal @ Satyapal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sandeep Singh Mann, Addl. AG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

2. At the outset, learned State counsel states that pursuant to investigation, Section 452 IPC has been deleted from the FIR while Section 324 IPC has been added.

3. In the light of the position as noted above, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for permission to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to approach the learned trial Court by way of a fresh petition on account of the change in circumstances.

4. The same is not opposed to by learned State counsel.

5. Accordingly, in the light of statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is permitted to be withdrawn with the liberty as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

30.09.2021

'Amit'