

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(204)

CRM No. M-40737-2021

Date of Decision : 08.10.2021

Narinder Kaur Purewal @ Narinder Purewal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

(through video conferencing)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. S.P. Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Brijeshwar Singh Bhalla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.
(keeping in view advance copy given)

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been termed as the first petition on behalf of the petitioner seeking the benefit of regular bail in FIR No. 45 dated 22.03.2019, registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC at Police Station Sadar, District Faridkot.

It has been mentioned in para 13 of the petition that the petitioner has not filed any such petition before this Court or before Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and no such bail petition is pending before the Sessions Judge.

On being pointed out that the petitioner had filed CRM No. M-21547 of 2020, which was dismissed as withdrawn on 01.09.2020, CRM No. M-35521 of 2020, which was withdrawn on 10.11.2020 as well as CRM No. M-22149 of 2021, which was withdrawn on 29.06.2021, seeking benefit

of regular bail, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said facts were not to the knowledge of the learned counsel, otherwise, the present petition would not have been filed and, therefore, petitioner be allowed to withdraw this petition.

The conduct of the petitioner is such that it needed strict order so as to make sure that the petitioner does not indulge in these kinds of activities of misleading the Court in future.

As prayed for, dismissed as withdrawn but with the order that in case the petitioner intends to file any bail application hereinafter, the present order should be part of the pleadings.

October 08, 2021
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No