

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

**Sr. No.203**

**CRM-M-40611-2021**

**Date of decision: 16.12.2021**

Nasib Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL**

Present: Mr. SPS Dhaliwal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

\* \* \*

**DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)**

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.0135 dated 08.09.2021 registered under Sections 384, 506 IPC at Police Station Dakha, District Ludhiana.

On September 29, 2021, this Court had passed the following order:-

“The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that the petitioner, who is a journalist by profession has been falsely implicated in this case due to political reasons at the behest of the complainant – husband of the Ex-Sarpanch of the village in question; the petitioner has no criminal antecedents; the FIR in question has been lodged after an unexplained delay of 45 days; the petitioner has already shown his bona fide by cooperating in the enquiry conducted by a Superintendent of Police; the petitioner has made available all information in his knowledge and that the petitioner is also ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called by the investigating agency.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Jagmohan Singh Ghumman, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and prays for time to argue the matter.

Adjourned to 16.12.2021.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.0135, dated 08.09.2021, registered under Sections 384, 506 IPC, at Police Station Dakha, District Ludhiana, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer."

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation; co-operated with the investigating agency and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

In view of the above, the interim order of this Court dated 29.09.2021, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

**December 16, 2021**

*Jyoti 1*

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)**

**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No