

CRWP-9308-2021

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-9308-2021.
Date of Decision:-29.09.2021.

Mehak Juneja and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Sushil K. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (Oral)

The present Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

It is the case of the petitioners that they both are major inasmuch as petitioner No.1 was born on 24.09.2003 and petitioner No.2 was born on 07.07.1997, and have married each other on 25.09.2021, with their free consent and without any pressure and that this is their first marriage. Reliance has been placed upon Aadhaar Cards (Annexures P-1 and P-2, respectively), the Marriage Certificate (Annexure P-3) and photographs (Annexure P-4). It is also stated in the petition that a detailed representation dated 25.09.2021 (Annexure P-5) has also been given to respondent No.2 with regard to the same.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only.

CRWP-9308-2021

On advance notice, Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana, appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 to 3 and on a specific query put by this Court, he has stated that he has no objection in case, respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra, District Kurukshetra, looks into the representation dated 25.09.2021 (Annexure P-5) and takes appropriate action in accordance with law.

After considering the abovesaid facts and without commenting upon the legality of the marriage and expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the present Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation (Annexure P-5) and after considering the threat perception to the petitioners, respondent No.2 will take appropriate action in accordance with law.

It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioners, if involved in any case.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

September 29, 2021.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes/No