

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.35991 of 2020(O&M)

Date of Decision: 14.01.2021

Rohtash

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was apprehended on the basis of suspicion. Petitioner was driving the motorcycle. 1100 strips containing 10 tablets each of Tramadol Hydrochloride tablets bearing batch number etc were recovered from the petitioner. FIR is of 05.08.2020. Challan has been presented without FSL report, which is still awaited. Learned counsel relies upon **Inderjeet Singh @ Laddi Vs. State of Punjab, 2014(3) RCR (Criminal) 953** and **CRM-M**

No.28140 of 2020 titled **Shivraj Singh @ Raju Vs. State of Haryana** decided on 21.09.2020 to seek interim bail till receipt of FSL report.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in view of ratio laid down in **Inderjeet Singh @ Laddi's case (supra)**, I deem it appropriate to grant interim bail to the petitioner till receipt of FSL report. Petitioner is directed to be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate till receipt of FSL report. Petitioner shall submit an affidavit before the trial Court/Duty Magistrate within a period of 7 days from his release to the effect that he shall surrender before the trial Court on receipt of FSL report forthwith. Prosecution would be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail in case, the petitioner is found involved in misusing the concession of interim bail.

Disposed of.

14.01.2021

Prince

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No