

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 40884 of 2021
Date of decision: 30.09.2021**

Angrej Singh

..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. B.S. Aulakh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab, for the respondent.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has challenged the order 24.07.2018 (Annexure P2) passed by the trial Court whereby he has been declared as a 'proclaimed person' in case FIR No. 100 dated 05.04.2013, under Sections 420, 465, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Phillaur, District Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and was not aware that he is required in the investigation and, therefore, could not appear before the investigating agency and the trial Court. He also contends that the petitioner is willing to surrender before the trial Court, but he may be protected from arrest.

Heard.

The petitioner had been declared as a 'proclaimed person' by the impugned order 24.07.2018 (Annexure P2). The provisions of Section 82 of

the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, had been followed inasmuch as clear notice of 30 days had been given to the petitioner, but he did not appear before the trial Court. Therefore, I do not find any infirmity in the impugned order (Annexure P2).

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

However, in the event of the petitioner surrendering before the trial Court and preferring an application for regular bail, the same shall be decided expeditiously in accordance with law.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

30.09.2021
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No