

214

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35840-2020

Date of Decision: 22.04.2021

Balle @ Balla Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

214-A

CRM-M-3990-2021

Dalip

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate,
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-35840-2020).

Mr. Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate,
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-3990-2021).

Mr. Munish Sharma, A.A.G., Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Case heard via video conferencing.

On the last date of hearing, Mr. Mazlish Khan, learned counsel for the petitioner (in CRM-M-35840-2020), not having been able to connect to the video conference and Mr. Vikas Bishnoi, learned counsel for the petitioner (in CRM-M-3990-2021), not having been present, it had been recorded by this court that as per the instructions of learned State counsel, in fact the chemical examiners' report had been received, to the effect that '*Ganja*' was found in the sample sent for analysis.

In the aforesaid situation, both learned counsel for the petitioners submits that they do not press these petitions.

Dismissed as withdrawn, with the interim orders dated 13.01.2021 passed in CRM-M-35840 of 2020 and 12.02.2021 in CRM-M-3990-2021 vacated.

April 22, 2021	(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
nitin	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No.