

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

213/2

CRM-M-40935-2021

DATE OF DECISION: 16.12.2021

TASBIR ARORA @ BEERA

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Isha Goyal, Advocate for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 69 dated 20.04.2018, under Sections 448, 511 and 427 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC' - for short) (Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC were added later on), registered at Police Station Cantonment, District Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that it is alleged that the lease deed which had been entered between co-accused Meenu Arora and Nanakjit Singh with the complainant for the shops owned by the co-accused had been forged. He, however, contends that there is no specific allegation against the petitioner and he has been involved in the case because he is the nephew of co-accused Nanakjit Singh, who is in custody. The petitioner was stated to have been present at the time of initial occurrence of attempt to take possession of the shops. It is also alleged that the petitioner had helped co-accused in breaking the locks and taking possession. He, however, contends that the possession remains with the complainant. He also contends that co-

accused Meenu Arora has been granted anticipatory bail by this Court in CRM-M-37128 of 2021.

Learned counsel for the complainant states that in view of the serious allegations against the petitioner, he is not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

This Court, by the order dated 17.11.2021, had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Jaswant Singh, contends that the petitioner has joined investigation.

In view of the above and the petitioner having joined investigation, the order dated 17.11.2021 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

16.12.2021

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No