

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 40409 of 2021
Date of decision: 28.09.2021**

Sahil Luthra

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Simranjeet Singh Sarwara, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana, for respondent No. 1.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 56 dated 13.02.2019, under Sections 406 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Naraingarh, District Ambala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR is the outcome of a monetary dispute which has now been compromised. He has referred to the copy of the compromise at Annexure P3.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Ramesh Kumar, contends that the petitioner has been declared a 'proclaimed offender'. He has furnished a copy of the order, which is taken on record.

Heard.

In view of the petitioner having been declared a 'proclaimed offender', I do not deem it to be a fit case to grant the concession of

anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was not aware that he has been declared a 'proclaimed offender'. He also contends that the petitioner shall surrender before the trial Court and prefer an application for regular bail.

In the event of the petitioner surrendering before the trial Court and preferring an application for regular bail, the same shall be decided expeditiously in accordance with law.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

28.09.2021
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No