

214 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-2368-2021

Date of Decision: 17.02.2021.

Roshan Lal

...Petitioner

vs.

Sh. J. Ganesan, IAS

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Parth Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl.A.G.Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Learned Addl.A.G. Haryana states that order dated 29.11.2019 in CWP No.34810 of 2019 directing the respondent to consider and decide the claim as made in legal notice dated 15.10.2018 in accordance with law within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order could not be complied with initially due to administrative exigencies and subsequently on account of conditions prevailing due to corona virus pandemic but in case two weeks time is granted, needful would be done and the claim made in the legal notice dated 15.10.2018 would be decided and decision taken thereon would also be supplied to the petitioner.

2. The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner, who states that in the circumstances, he does not press the Contempt Petition and the same may be disposed of as such at this stage while directing the respondent to adhere to the assurance held out on his behalf by learned

Addl. AG, Haryana, and to decide the claim made in the legal notice

dated 15.10.2018 in a time bound manner while granting liberty to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the Contempt Petition, if the circumstances of the case, so warrant.

3. Accordingly, in view of the position as noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties, the Contempt Petition is disposed of as not pressed at this stage while directing the respondent to adhere to the assurance held out on his behalf by learned Addl. AG, Haryana, and to decide the claim as made by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 15.10.2018 in accordance with decision dated 29.11.2019 in CWP No.34810 of 2019 within two weeks from today.

4. Needless to mention, in case the needful is not done within the stipulated period of time, the petitioner would be at liberty to move an application for revival of the Contempt Petition in which eventuality a serious view would be taken in the matter.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

17.02.2021.

'ps'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No