

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

112

CRWP-9303-2021
Date of Decision: 29.09.2021

Anamika Gupta and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present : Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By this petition, the petitioners seek protection of life and liberty at the hands of respondent no.4, upon the petitioners having married each other (as contended) against the wishes of the said respondent, on 11.09.2021.

Upon a specific query put to learned counsel for the petitioners, he submits that though petitioner no.1 was married earlier, she has been granted a decree of divorce (copy annexed as Annexure P-4), on 27.03.2018.

As regard petitioner no.2, it is stated to be his first marriage.

Upon another query put to him, he submits that the petitioners are not in a *sapinda* relationship to each other.

That being so, this being only a petition seeking protection of the life and liberty of the petitioners, it is disposed of with a direction to respondents no.2 and 3, i.e. the SSP, Jalandhar Rural and the SHO, Police

Station Bhogpur, District Jalandhar, to ensure that such liability is duly protected, that being a basic fundamental right of every citizen, enshrined in Article 21 of the Constitution of India.

No comment whatsoever has been made by this court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners to each other, or otherwise; and if any of the averments made in the petition are found to be incorrect/false, naturally, this order would not prohibit proceedings as per law in respect of any such false averments made, including the age of the petitioners.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

29.09.2021
adhikari

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	Yes