
Ram Kumar v. State of Haryana and others

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

By this petition, the petitioner seeks the issuance of a direction to the respondents to release the vehicle, i.e. a Tata Dumper bearing registration No.HR-58B-3608, on *Sapurdari*.

On 25.11.2020, the following order had been passed:-

“All the cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the Covid-19 pandemic.

As recorded in the order dated 04.11.2020, counsel for the petitioner could not be contacted and therefore did not appear in the video conference.

On 09.11.2020, he had sought an adjournment through the coordinator of the video conference of this court.

The same request has been made even today.

Adjourned to 02.12.2020.

It is made clear that if the matter is not argued on the next date of hearing, naturally an inference would be taken by this court that the petitioner no longer wishes to pursue this petition and it would be dismissed for non-prosecution.”

Thereafter, as recorded in the order dated 02.12.2020, the counsel for the petitioner had sought another adjournment through the coordinator of the video conference, on the ground that his father was admitted to hospital.

In view of that contention made, the petition was not dismissed on that day, even though it was noticed that no medical certificate to support that

contention had been made.

Today again, even on second call, (the first having been made in the pre-lunch session), none appears for the petitioner in the post-lunch session either.

That being so, the petition being one invoking jurisdiction of this court under the provisions of Section 482 of the Cr.P.C., it is dismissed for want of prosecution.

March 08, 2021
dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE