

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

135

CWP-19776-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.09.2021

Vikash Ranga

... Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana & Anr.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GIRISH AGNIHOTRI

Present: Mr. Sumit Puri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Arya, D.A.G., Haryana.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing on account of restrictions due to outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic.

Petitioner-Vikash Ranga stated to be aged 29 years, has filed the present writ petition *inter alia* with the prayer for directing respondent No.2 to reinstate the petitioner to his duties as Home Guard and to decide the representation/legal notice dated 22.07.2021 (Annexure P-7) along with further relief as mentioned in the petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for same/similar grievance the petitioner had submitted a representation dated 22.07.2021 (Annexure P-7), but the same has not been decided till date.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Rohit Arya, D.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and without

commenting upon the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with

a direction to the respondent No.2/competent authority to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner as contained in the representation dated 22.07.2021 (Annexure P-7), in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, by passing a speaking order within **four months** from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Needless to say that in case the petitioner is found entitled on merits to the claim as raised in the representation, the same be disbursed within **one month** from the date of decision of the representation.

So ordered.

29.09.2021
Shruti

(Girish Agnihotri)
Judge

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?
2. Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No