

**210-A IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35600-2020

Date of Decision: 09.02.2021

Dr. Manish Singla and others

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Surender Singh, A.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Raghav Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent no. 2.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Case heard via video conferencing.

By this petition, the petitioners seek quashing, on the basis of a compromise arrived at between them and respondent no. 2, of FIR no. 593 dated 09.07.2020, registered at Police Station City Thanesar, District Kurukshetra, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 406 and 420 of the IPC and Section 3 of the Protection of Interest of Depositors in Financial Establishment Act, 2013, (with Sections 506/509/34/180 of the IPC and Sections 27/54/59 of the Arms, Act, 1959 added later), as also all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom. A copy of the compromise deed has been annexed as Annexure P-5 with the petition.

Pursuant to the order of this court dated 04.11.2020, the report of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kurukshetra, dated 16.11.2020, is on record, to the effect that the complainant in the FIR, i.e. respondent no. 2 Ravinder Singla, stated that he has voluntarily entered into a compromise with the petitioners and that the dispute has been amicably settled.

As per the assessment of that court, the settlement of the dispute is without any undue influence coercion or pressure, which statement is again verified by Mr. Raghav Sharma, Advocate, appearing for the said respondent.

It is also to be noticed that pursuant to an order passed in CRM-M-25308-2020, by which Manish Singla and Ankur Singla seek to be admitted to bail, an affidavit has been filed by the DSP City Kurukshetra, dated 15.01.2021, stating to the effect that it had been verified from the ICICI Bank that the loan taken by Ankur Singla from that Bank, in respect of the property in question, has been repaid in full.

That being so, with the respondent-complainant also reiterating that the matter has also been amicably settled between the parties, the dispute essentially having arisen on account of the property having been transferred by the petitioners to the complainant despite a loan alleged to be standing against them, I see no purpose in continuing the criminal proceedings.

Consequently, the petition is allowed and FIR No. 593 dated 09.07.2020, registered at Police Station City Thanesar, District Kurukshetra, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 406 and 420 of the IPC and Section 3 of the Protection of Interest of Depositors in Financial Establishment Act, 2013, (with Sections 506/509/34/180 of the IPC and Sections 27/54/59 of the Arms, Act, 1959 added later), along with all proceedings emanating therefrom, is hereby quashed.

February 09, 2021

nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No.