

201

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 35125 of 2020
Date of Decision: 06.01.2021

Satpal Singh

-Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

-Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Mohit Sadana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Gill, D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Rishu Garg, Advocate,
for the complainant.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through
video-conferencing.

Petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail under
Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case bearing FIR No.0254 dated
01.10.2020 registered under Sections 306 and 34 IPC at Police
Station Lehra, District Sangrur.

On 02.11.2020, following order was passed by this
Court:-

“The case has been taken up for hearing

through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is father-in-law of the deceased. The allegations are of consuming liquor by her husband Tarsem Singh and quarreling with the deceased i.e. sister of the complainant. The alleged suicide note is undated and unsigned. Petitioner has burn injuries to the extent of 40% on his person. The Gram Panchayat, Gaga has endorsed that the petitioner is living separately from the house of his son since 2018 on account of differences. Petitioner and his wife are old aged persons and are living separately and are also drawing old aged pension.

Notice of motion for 06.01.2021.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation on 06.11.2020 at 11.00 a.m. and in the event of his arrest, he shall be enlarged on ad interim bail, subject to his furnishing requisite bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. However, petitioner shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the complainant opposed the bail on the ground that the private expert at the instance of the complainant has given a report of comparison of Handwriting and found the same to be of Mandeep Kaur.

On a pointed query, learned State counsel on

instructions from SI Bikramjit Singh submits that the police has not sent any Handwriting of Mandeep Kaur to any Government Expert for want of alternative Handwriting of the deceased on any document.

Learned State counsel further submits that in compliance of order dated 02.11.2020, the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is no more required in further investigation of the case.

In view of above, order dated 02.11.2020 is hereby made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall keep on joining the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Officer.

January 06, 2021
Jyoti Sharma

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No