

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(124)

CRWP-9233-2021

Date of decision: 24.09.2021

Geeta and another**...Petitioners****Versus****Union Territory, Chandigarh and others****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL**

Present:- Mr. Parveen Malhotra, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Anupa Bansal, A.P.P., U.T., Chandigarh
for respondents No.1 to 3.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

The Court has been convened through video conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

Geeta and Amit Rana, the petitioners before this Court, claim themselves to be of marriageable age. They have pleaded that they have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 and 5. They apprehend danger from them and seek protection to their life and liberty. They have placed on record Marriage Photographs and Marriage Certificate, Annexure P-3 and P-4, respectively, evidencing their marriage. It is specifically pleaded in para No. 16 of the petition that both the petitioners are major and were unmarried prior to this alimony. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 18.09.2021 (Annexure P-5) to Senior Superintendent of Police, Union Territory, Sector-9, Chandigarh.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioners, Annexure P-5 and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

24.09.2021
Kamal

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No