

COCp-2333-2020

Date of Decision: 01.03.2021

Om Parkash

...Petitioner

vs.

Alok Nigam and others

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr.Gaurav Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Deepak Sonak, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

2. On 24.02.2021, the following order was passed:-

“Learned State Counsel states that, order violation of which has been complained of in the instant petition has been stayed vide order dated 15.12.2020 in LPA No.889 of 2020, therefore, no further orders are called for and the contempt petition may be disposed of, as such.

None is present on behalf of the petitioner.

Court time is also over.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 26.02.2021.

To be shown after the urgent cases.”

3. Today, learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of orders of Hon'ble the Division Bench dated 15.12.2020, in LPA No.889-2020, he does not press the Contempt Petition and the same may be disposed of as such at this stage while granting liberty to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the Contempt Petition if the stay granted in LPA-

889-2020 is vacated or the aforementioned LPA is decided in favour of the petitioner.

4. The same is not opposed by the learned DAG, Haryana.

5. In view of the position as noted above, I am of the view that no action is required to be taken on the Contempt Petition at this stage. Accordingly, the same is disposed of as such. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the Contempt Petition in the eventuality of stay granted in LPA-889-2020 being vacated or the aforementioned LPA being decided in favour of the petitioner, whichever is earlier.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

01.03.2021
'Rajesh'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No