

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-9612-2021

Date of Decision: 08.10.2021

Savita and another

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Amit Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioners.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

Petitioner No.1 (girl) who is 18 years and 1 month of age and petitioner No.2 (boy) who is 18 years and 5 months old are stated to have married each other on 15.09.2021. Apprehending threat to their life and liberty, at the hands of respondent No.4, they have filed the present petition for protection.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that petitioner No.2 (boy) though has not attained the marriagable age as per law, however, since they have married each other, they are apprehending threat to their life and liberty, at the hands of respondent No.4. He submitted that petitioners have submitted a representation (Annexure P-5) dated 19.09.2021, in this regard to the Superintendent of Police, Sonapat (Haryana) as well as SHO, P.S. Kundali, District Sonapat, Haryana, but no action has been taken on the same. The learned counsel for the petitioners has also submitted that the

scope of the present petition may be confined only to the extent of protection of the lives of the petitioners.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 3 only.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the aforesaid respondents.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The scope of the present writ petition is confined only to the extent of grant of protection of life to the petitioners. It does not in anyway reflect anything to the validity of the marriage between the petitioners. Since the scope of the present petition is only pertaining to the protection of life, it is, therefore, directed that respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Sonapat (Haryana) shall ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners.

This order shall not be deemed to be an impediment for proceeding against the petitioners under the Child Marriage Restraints Act, as well as any other law, in accordance with law.

In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of.

08.10.2021

rakesh

**(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No