

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

101

CWP-18363-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.02.2021

JAIDEV

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present : Mr. Vikram Jeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. AG, Haryana.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner claims to be the resident of village Nauranabad, District Bhiwani. Through this writ petition, the petitioner claims that respondent No.5, a civil contractor is not properly executing the work and using sub-standard material. The petitioner claims that a writ be issued directing the official respondents to take appropriate action against respondent No.5. On notice of motion, respondents have filed their reply and taken a stand that as per the test report, the material used is conforming to the standard prescribed.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the writ petition involves determination of disputed questions of fact which can only be decided after leading evidence. Hence, the petitioner is relegated to the alternative remedy.

Disposed of.

22.02.2021

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

ashok

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes / No

Whether reportable:

Yes / No