

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-40398-2021

DECIDED ON:1st October, 2021

Gurmeet Singh

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Haryana

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Gagandeep Singh Chhina, AAG Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

Today physical hearing was held but on request of learned counsel for the petitioner, the matter is taken up by way of hybrid hearing.

This petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is filed seeking regular bail in case of FIR No.356 dated 8.5.2021, under Sections 17-B (E), 18-A, 18(a), 18(c), 27(B)(ii), 27(c), 28 of Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940 and 3/7 of the Essential Commodities Act, 1955 and 120-B, 420 IPC, registered at Police Station Yamuna Nagar City, District Yamuna Nagar.

The allegation in the FIR is that duplicate Remdesivir injections were supplied by the petitioner at a price higher than the MRP.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was only acting as a middleman. He purchased the injection from co-accused Ajay and charged his commission. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody since 22.6.2021, investigation is complete and no recovery is to be effected. The contention is that no injection was recovered from the petitioner.

Learned State counsel opposes the prayer for grant of bail and submits that it is a crime against humanity. When the world was facing the Pandemic situation, in which the person like the petitioner was out to encash the situation. He on instructions submits that the challan stands presented and investigation is complete.

Petitioner was named in disclosure statement. The Court is informed that the manufacturer is also in custody. Recovery from the petitioner is of Rs.1500/-. Investigation is complete, conclusion of trial is likely to take time. Petitioner is not involved in any other case. Co-accused was granted bail by this Court. No useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars, bail is granted to the petitioner on furnishing surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition is allowed.

It is clarified that the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

1st October, 2021

reema

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>