

201

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-40192-2021

Date of decision : 26.11.2021

Ramandeep

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Brijender Kaushik, Advocate for
Mr. Rahul Vats, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. B.S. Saroha, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

(Through Video Conferencing)

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.185 dated 31.07.2021 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 341 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Sections 325 and 326 of IPC have been added later on) at Police Station City Ratia, District Fatehabad, Haryana.

On 27.09.2021, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“This is a petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 185 dated

31.7.2021 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 341, 506 IPC (Sections 325, 326 IPC added later on) at Police Station City Ratia, District Fatehabad, Haryana.

Learned counsel for petitioner as also learned counsel for respondents No. 2 and 3 assert that the matter has been compromised. The complainant and petitioner are known to each other since long.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG Haryana and Mr. B.S. Saroha, Advocate accept notice on behalf respondent No. 1 and respondents No. 2 and 3 respectively.

Adjourned to 26.11.2021.

In the meanwhile, in the event of arrest of petitioner, he shall be released on interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He will join investigation as and when called for and cooperate in same. He will abide by conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the abovesaid order dated 27.09.2021, the petitioner has joined the investigation and a petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise has already been filed and the statements of the parties have also been recorded.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Daya Ram, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation on 21.10.2021 and is not required for any further custodial interrogation.

Learned counsel for respondent Nos.2 and 3 has also reiterated the fact with respect to the compromise effected between the parties and filing of the petition for quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, more so the facts which have been noticed in the order dated 27.09.2021, as also the fact that the matter has been compromised and the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further custodial interrogation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 27.09.2021 is ordered to be made absolute.

Nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

26.11.2021

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No