

**105 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH  
(through video conferencing)**

CRM-M-39875-2021  
Decided on : 23.09.2021

Sagar @ Samrat

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present : Mr. Siddarth Tanwar, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**Manjari Nehru Kaul, J.**

This is the second petition filed under Section 439 Cr.PC for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.36 dated 21.02.2017 registered under Sections 473, 392, 412 IPC, 1860 and Sections 25, 54 and 59 of Arms Act at Police Station Chhappar District Yamuna Nagar.

On a pointed query put to learned counsel for the petitioner as to what was the substantial change in the circumstances, which would warrant entertaining the instant petition subsequent to dismissal of the earlier petition on 18.12.2020, he has stated that charges have since been framed. He further submits that the petitioner has been nominated as an accused on the basis of disclosure statement made by co-accused Amit. Hence, a prayer has been made to extend the concession of bail as the petitioner has been in custody for more than four years.

Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Surender Kumar has apprised the Court that the petitioner is a man of criminal antecedents inasmuch as he is involved in two more cases of similar nature out of which

in one case he already stands convicted. She has further submitted that the delay in conclusion of the trial has been on account of the petitioner's involvement in other cases, prior to the registration of FIR in question, in which proceedings were going on in different Courts coupled with the fact that co-accused too were involved in a number of criminal cases for which they too are facing trial before various Courts. She has apprised the Court that charges were framed as recently as on 02.04.2021.

Heard.

In the circumstances and seeing to the antecedents of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to extend the concession of regular bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed. However, since the petitioner has been in custody for more than four years, the trial Court shall make earnest endeavour to expedite the trial and conclude the same preferably within a period of one year.

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)**  
**JUDGE**

23.09.2021

*sonia*

Whether speaking/reasoned:  
Whether reportable :

Yes/No  
Yes/No