

226-A

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.35294 of 2020

Date of Decision : 08.04.2021

Pritam Singh & others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present : Mr. Abhishek Verma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. B.S. Sewak, Addl. A.G., Punjab

Mr. Amandeep Singh Jawandha, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are accused persons in Rapat vide G.D. No.020 dated 03.04.2020 under Sections 323, 324 and 34 of IPC, 1860 (Annexure P-1), in case F.I.R No.0053 dated 03.04.2020, under Sections 452, 323, 34 of the IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Sadar Sangrur, District Sangrur (Annexure P-2), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused persons vide Compromise/Agreement (Annexure P-3), which is duly signed by him.

The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise

arrived at between the parties. The Ld. Chief Judicial Magistrate (D), Sangrur, vide report dated 24.12.2020 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

3. Respondent No.2 is represented by his Counsel who does not dispute the factum of compromise.

4. In view of the report of the Ld. Chief Judicial Magistrate (D), Sangrur, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the Respondent No.2 has himself compromised the dispute with the accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. Rapat vide G.D. No.020 dated 03.04.2020 under Sections 323, 324 and 34 of IPC, 1860 (Annexure P-1), in case F.I.R No.0053 dated 03.04.2020, under Sections 452, 323, 34 of the IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Sadar Sangrur, District Sangrur (Annexure P-2), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed *qua* the present petitioners.

08.04.2021
Jitesh (JTS)

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No