

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

222

**CRM-M-40221-2021
Decided on : 13.12.2021**

Prithvi Singh @ Prithvi Raj

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

PRESENT: Mr. J.S. Mehal, Advocate for
Mr. Paras Jagga, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana
assisted by SI Raj Bala.

Mr. Damodar Khurana, Advocate
for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

This is the second petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 493, dated 18.08.2020, under Section 307 of IPC, registered at Police Station City Sirsa, District Sirsa (annexed as Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that subsequent to the withdrawal of the previous petition on 10th February, 2021, the charges have been framed and the complainant has been examined before the trial Court. Learned counsel submits that one prosecution witness out of the 17 cited has been examined and there is no likelihood of the trial concluding anytime in the near future. He further submits that even otherwise, it is a case of false implication and it is on account of a previous animosity between the petitioner and the complainant, who happens to be his ex-wife, the FIR in question came to be registered.

Per contra, learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant, while opposing the prayer and submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from SI Raj Bala, has apprised the Court that the complainant was intentionally hit and run over by a car, which was being driven by none other than the petitioner, as a result of which, the complainant-wife received serious injuries and had to be hospitalized for a long time. Learned State counsel has submitted that while stepping into the witness-box, the complainant supported the case of the prosecution in its entirety and reiterated all the allegations levelled in the FIR in question.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material on record.

Prima facie, there are serious and specific allegations levelled against the petitioner of having tried to run over his car over the complainant i.e. his ex-wife, as a result of which, she had to be remain hospitalized for serious injuries for as long as eight months.

In the circumstances, this Court does not deem it appropriate to extend the concession of bail to the petitioner. Petition stands dismissed accordingly. It is, however, made clear that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merit of the case.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

December 13, 2021

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*