

In virtual Court

CRM-M-40042-2021

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-40042-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.09.2021

Jagram and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Kamal Deep Sehra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.529 dated 31.05.2019 under Sections 147, 149, 323, 341, 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Gurugram and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as per allegations in the FIR, the police received an information that Mukesh Azad is admitted in General Hospital, Sector-10, Gurugram and the Investigating Officer recorded his statement that on 30.05.2019, he along with his wife and children were going to home from market. On the way, Roop Chand and Jagram had parked their auto and bike, due to which the complainant was not able to take his

vehicle home. When the complainant requested to remove the aforesaid vehicles, the accused persons did not remove the same. Thereafter, the complainant tried to make a call at 100 number and on this, the aforesaid accused along with 2-3 unknown persons including his son Narender, Kanta and Kavita started beating him. On hearing the noise, his brother and father came and they were also attacked with the weapons. It is further stated that Deepak and Manoj damaged front mirror and mirror of driver side of the vehicle with brick and assaulted the complainant side.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the case is still at the stage of investigation and the petitioners have recently been granted the concession of anticipatory bail.

Learned State counsel has submitted that the case is being investigated and it is a case of eyewitness account, as the complainant has suffered injuries and he was medico-legally examined and on the basis of same, FIR was registered. It is further submitted that there are two eyewitnesses i.e. brother and father of the complainant, who were also assaulted by the accused.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and considering the aforesaid submissions, I find no ground to quash the FIR.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

27.09.2021
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No