

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

**CWP-19702-2021
Date of decision: 22.11.2021**

ANJALI SHARMA

....Petitioner

Versus

HARYANA SHEHRI VIKAS PRADIKARAN AND ORS

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ**

Present : Ms. Sonia G. Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Chauhan, Advocate
for HSVP.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA. J. (ORAL)

This case has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Mr. P.S. Chauhan, Advocate, representing the respondent/HSVP upon instructions from the Estate Officer-II HSVP Gurugram, makes a statement that Memo dated 22nd December 2015 (Annexure P-7) as regards withdrawing of possession of SCO No.105-P, Sector 55-56, Gurugram has since been withdrawn.

The necessary communication in such regard has been circulated in the WhatsApp group.

Further categoric stand taken by Mr. P.S. Chauhan, Advocate is that the possession of the SCO in question had never been taken back from the petitioner.

In the light of such categoric stand taken on behalf of HSVP, the primary prayer made in the instant petition as regards issuance of *Mandamus* to hand over possession of SCO No.105-P, Sector 56 Gurugram does not survive.

Insofar as, other prayers made in the petition, it would be open for the petitioner to avail of her remedies, as may be available, in accordance in law.

Writ petition is disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

22.11.2021
S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*