

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.19080-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision:02.11.2021

Gopal Dass Estates & Housing Pvt. Ltd. and ors.

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ**

Present:- Mr. Rajeev Anand, Advocate,
Mr. Shivam Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. Vikram Brar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

During the course of hearing today it has gone uncontroverted that against the order dated 02.09.2021 (Annexure P-30) whereby the Director, Town and Country Planning, Haryana, had cancelled the licences bearing No.58-67 of 1995, 1-9 of 1997, 2-13 of 1998 and 49-65 of 2002, a statutory appeal has been preferred by the petitioners.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G. Haryana, informs us that the matter is now fixed for hearing before the appellate authority on 11.11.2021. He submits that earnest efforts would be made for an expeditious disposal of the appeal.

Since the matter is pending consideration before the appellate authority, we refrain from making any observations and examining the validity of the order dated 02.09.2021 (Annexure P-30), passed by the

Director and whereby the licences had been cancelled. The matter ought to be dealt with by appellate authority in the first instance.

Under such circumstances and without going into the merits of the case, writ petition is disposed of.

At this stage, Mr. Ankur Mittal, learned Addl. A.G. Haryana, has very fairly stated that in the eventuality of the final order to be passed by the appellate authority is against the petitioners, the same would not be given effect to for a period of 14 days so as to enable the petitioners to take legal recourse, if so advised.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(VINOD S. BHADWAJ)
JUDGE

02.11.2021

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No