

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.202-2

CRM-M-34838-2020

Date of decision: 21.01.2021

Kawaljit Kaur

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. D.S. Pheruman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Sewak, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. the petitioner seeks the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.72 dated 12.03.2018 registered under Sections 419 and 420 IPC at Police Station City Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran.

Briefly stated, the case of the prosecution is that one Navjot Kaur impersonated the petitioner in the English paper of her Matric examination.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner who is a young girl aged 21 years has been falsely implicated in this case; she is not involved in any other criminal case; for the last 2½ years no proceedings took place but now the petitioner is being unnecessarily harassed; the petitioner has already suffered punishment with regard to barring her from appearance in any examination for 03 years and therefore, the present criminal case has doubled her jeopardy and that under the interim orders passed by this Court the petitioner has not only joined the investigation but has also fully cooperated with the investigating agency.

Learned State counsel submits that under the interim orders passed by this Court the petitioner has not only joined the investigation but has also fully co-operated with the investigating agency and that her

custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the above noticed facts especially the statement made by the learned State counsel that the petitioner has joined the investigation and that her custodial interrogation is not required, the order of this Court dated 30.10.2020, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

Nothing observed herein-above shall be considered to be an expression of opinion by this Court on the merits of the case.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

January 21, 2021
Jyoti 1

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No