

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

249

**CRM-M-40225-2021
Decided on : 24.11.2021**

Baljinder Singh @ Bobby

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

PRESENT: Mr. Achin Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ramneek Vasudeva, Advocate for
Mr. Jagjit Singh Lalli, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No. 173, dated 28.07.2017, under Section 498-A of IPC, registered at Police Station City Faridkot, District Faridkot (Annexure P-1) and all the consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 09.09.2021 (Annexure P-2).

It was due to matrimonial discord between the parties, the FIR in question came to be registered. However, vide panchayati compromise (Annexure P-2), the parties have resolved their disputes amicably and pursuant thereto, they have filed a joint petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu marriage Act, 1955.

Vide order dated 27th September, 2021, of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court on 25th October, 2021, to get their statements recorded, regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

Report has since been received from the learned CJM, Faridkot, in pursuance to the direction of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed. The trial Court has annexed the copies of the statements of the parties in original along with its report.

Learned State counsel too submits that there is no other accused other than the petitioner and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

In view of the report of the learned CJM, Faridkot, and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

November 24, 2021

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No