

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

204

CWP-17447-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 09.09.2021

Lachhman Dass

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Girish Agnihotri

Present: Ms. Dhivya Jerath, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate for respondents No.3 & 4.

Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate for respondent No.6.

(the aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual court on account of restrictions due to COVID 19)

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

CM-11060-CWP-2021

Reply filed by respondents No.3 & 4 is taken on record.

CM stands disposed of accordingly.

Main case

Petitioner-Lachhman Dass, stated to be aged approximately 52 years, has filed the present petition *inter alia* with a prayer for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing of the suspension order dated 12.07.2018 (Annexure P-7).

At the outset, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.6

makes three fold submissions. Firstly, he submits that the substantive prayer of the petitioner was to direct the respondents to revoke his suspension and it is a matter of fact that suspension has already been revoked. He secondly submits that there was a prayer made in the writ petition to take action against respondent No.6. He submits that it is a matter of fact that the term of respondent No.6 has already expired. Thirdly, he submits that there was a prayer to initiate action against respondent No.6 and in this regard, inquiry has already been initiated. Learned counsel submits that in view of the above, the present writ petition has become infructuous.

Keeping in view the above facts, the present writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

09.09.2021
anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No