

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

126

CWP No.19710 of 2021
Date of Decision: 29.09.2021

Jaspreet Singh

... Petitioner

VS.

State of Punjab & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GIRISH AGNIHOTRI

Present: Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, DAG, Punjab.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing on account of restrictions due to outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic.

Petitioner-Jaspreet Singh, stated to be aged 36 years, has filed the present writ petition *inter alia* with a prayer for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to get the enquiry concluded from respondent No.4 at the earliest into application dated 25.03.2021 (Annexure P-4) marked to him by respondents No.2 and 3, followed by legal notice dated 05.04.2021 (Annexure P-8).

It is the pleaded case of the petitioner that respondent No.5 is employee of respondents No.2 and 3. It is alleged that respondent No.5 has illegally formed the Federation without obtaining permission from the authorities. Learned counsel then makes reference to para 19 of the petition to submit that petitioner submitted applications dated 25.03.2021 to respondents No.1 to 3 for taking departmental action against respondent No.5. He further submits that the petitioner has also got served a legal notice dated 08.09.2021 (Annexure P-14).

Notice of motion.

JYOTI
2021.10.12 11:15
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No.1-State, through video conferencing.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and without commenting upon the merits of the case, the writ petition is **disposed of** with a direction to the competent authority/respondents to examine the pleas in the legal notice (Annexure P-14) and decide the same in accordance with law.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent No.4 is to decide the representation and hold an enquiry.

Accordingly, respondent No.4 is directed to examine and expedite the decision in the enquiry at the earliest, preferably with a period of **two months** from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

So ordered.

29.09.2021

jyoti3

**(Girish Agnihotri)
Judge**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?
2. Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No