

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 19100 of 2021

DATE OF DECISION :- September 23, 2021

Pardeep

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present:- Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate for the petitioner.

The case has been taken up through Video Conferencing.

By way of filing the present writ petition, petitioner Pardeep, aged 33 years, son of Des Raj, resident of village and P.O. Matloda, Tehsil Matloda, Tehsil Barwala, District Hisar against respondents State of Haryana through the Chief Secretary to Govt. of Haryana, Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula, Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd., Panchkula and Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd., Hisar craves for issuance of direction to respondent No. 2 Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula to award 5 marks for Social Economic Criteria to him as he falls under category of Orphan since his father had died before the petitioner attained the age of 15 years and he is first child of his parents. He wants to be so done for the purpose of selection and appointment for the post of Clerk/L.D.C. against category No. 4 and 26 contending that in case 5 marks are awarded to him for being fatherless and 2.5 marks for experience then he comes in the selection zone for the post of Clerk/L.D.C. and his

name would figure in waiting list in Category No. 26 and then he can be considered for selection since his score would be 92.50. It is further contended that the candidates securing such marks have been placed in the waiting list in the General Category.

Keeping in view the nature of the dispute between the parties as well as prayer made by the petitioner for the purpose of enabling respondent No. 2 Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula to consider the claim of petitioner and then to take appropriate action, if need be and further to avoid unnecessary litigation, the writ petition is disposed of directing respondent No. 2 to consider the case of the petitioner in accordance with law, rules, regulations and instructions on the subject within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of the order and if some action in the matter is warranted then the needful be done in accordance with law. A speaking order in that regard be passed which be conveyed to the petitioner. For the purpose of such consideration, the present writ petition along with annexures be taken as representation by the petitioner. If the petitioner feels dissatisfied after passing of the order then he may approach the Court again in accordance with law.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

September 23, 2021

p.singh

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No