

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(213)

CWP-18264-2020

Date of Decision : 14.01.2021

Laxman Dass and Another

...Petitioners

Versus

Chief Canal Officer and Others

..Respondents

(through video conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Ajay Kamboj, Advocate for respondent No. 6.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties are agreed that the prayer of the petitioners for removing the illegal construction, which caused hindrance on in irrigating the land owned by the petitioners, has already been redressed by the respondents and the said illegal construction already stands removed.

With regard to the second prayer of the petitioners that the order dated 26.02.2013 (Annexure P-6) is not being implemented, nothing has been shown to this Court that the petitioners have ever raised this grievance before the authorities at any given point of time.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners states that petitioners will approach the respondents at the first instance in

case, they have any grievance for implementation of the order dated 26.02.2013 (Annexure P-6).

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the petitioners file any representation with regard to the implementation of the order dated 26.02.2013 (Annexure P-6), the same will be examined and appropriate orders will be passed within a period of two months of the receipt of the same.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, he does not wish to press this petition any further at this stage and the same may be disposed of as such.

Ordered accordingly.

January 14, 2021
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No