

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

101

CRWP No.9084 of 2021

Date of Decision: September 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2021

Sunil

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present: Mr. Ravinder Bangar, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

**(PROCEEDINGS THROUGH V.C.)**

**AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J.**

Petitioner has approached this Court praying for his release on emergency parole for four weeks on the ground that his wife's delivery is due on 05.10.2021, whereas he has to surrender on 26.09.2021 after availing the benefit of parole as granted by the High Powered Committee of this Court in the light of the Covid-19 pandemic as per the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

To support the contention with regard to the date of delivery of his wife, reliance has been placed upon the certificate dated 15.09.2021 (Annexure P-3) issued by the Gulati Nursing Home. Representation has been submitted by the petitioner for grant of such relief on 18.09.2021 (Annexure P-1) to the Superintendent of Jail, District Jail, Gurugram.

Having considered the submissions made by the counsel for the petitioner and on going through the medical certificate, we do not find that there is any complication whatsoever with regard to the pregnancy of the wife

of the petitioner. The expected date of delivery of his wife is 05.10.2021, which factum he was well aware of but has chosen to file a representation only on 18.09.2021 (Annexure P-1) to the Superintendent of Jail almost at the fag end, when he has to surrender in jail.

Through the present petition, petitioner wants to avail of parole without giving the authorities reasonable time to decide his representation. In any case, we do not find any exceptional ground to interfere, especially when there is no complication whatsoever with regard to the pregnancy of the wife of the petitioner.

The present petition is, therefore, dismissed.

However, it would be open to the jail authorities to consider the representation of the petitioner as submitted by him and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)**  
**JUDGE**

**September 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2021**  
*Puneet*

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No