

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-9099-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.09.2021**

Veer Pal and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Sahil Choudhary, Advocate for the petitioners.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

This petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 12.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major. This is the second marriage of both the petitioners. Earlier, the petitioners had solemnised marriage with each other, but under the pressure and interference of the private respondents they filed a divorce petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, whereupon they were granted divorce vide order dated 09.04.2021. However, they again

solemnised marriage with each other on 18.09.2021 against the wishes of respondents No. 4 to 12. The certificate and photographs evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexures P-3 and P-4, respectively. They apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 12. The petitioners have already submitted a representation dated 18.09.2021, (Annexure P-5) to respondent No. 2-Superintendent of Police, Yamunanagar.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Ashok Singh Chaudhary, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No. 2- Superintendent of Police, Yamunanagar, to decide the representation dated 18.09.2021(Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and grant protection to them, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

22.09.2021

Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No