

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 8937 of 2020

DECIDED ON: 16th December, 2021

Harpreet Singh

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Narinder Sharma, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG Punjab.

Mr. Deepak Sharma, Advocate for respondents No. 9 and 10.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

Due to COVID-19 situation, the Court is convened through video conference.

This petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for direction to respondents to consider complaint dated 10.12.2019.

Learned State counsel has filed reply dated 18.12.2020.

As per reply, there was version and cross-version of an incident, the matter was compromised and is *sub-judice* before the Civil Court, Rajpura.

Learned State counsel on instructions submits that the disputes between the parties are civil in nature. He further submits that the complaint dated 10.12.2019 would be considered in accordance with law within three weeks from today if not already considered.

In view of statement made by learned State counsel, no further directions are called for.

The petition is disposed of.

It is clarified that the petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law for redressal of the surviving grievance, if any.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

16th December, 2021

reema

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No