

Santokh Singh and another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another ...Respondents

CRM-9911-2021 in/and

CRM-M-31214-2019

Narinder Singh and others ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Dhawaljeet Dutta, Advocate,
for the applicants/petitioners in CRM-M-31187-2019.
for respondent no.2 in CRM-M-31214-2019

Mr. Sanjeeva K. Uppal, Advocate,
for the applicant/petitioner in CRM-M-31214-2019
for respondent no.2 in CRM-M-31187-2019.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, DAG, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Case heard by video conferencing.

CRM-9907-2021 &

CRM-9911-2021

By these applications advancement of the date of hearing in the
accompanying petitions is sought.

Notice in the applications.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice at the
asking of the court on behalf of the respondent State, with learned counsel
appearing for respondents no.2 in each case and accepting notice.

They do not oppose the applications, which are consequently

allowed and the date of hearing in the accompanying petitions is advanced to today itself.

**CRM-M-31187-2019 &
CRM-M-31214-2019**

By these petitions, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.67, dated 21.06.2018, as also the cross-version thereto, registered vide DDR No.25 dated 22.06.2018, both registered at Police Station Shri Hargobindpur, Police District Batala, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under the provisions of Sections 323/324/34 of the IPC, as also all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of a compromise (copy Annexure P-2), arrived at between the petitioners and respondent no.2 in each petition.

Pursuant to the order of this court dated 23.07.2019, the report of the learned JMIC, Batala, dated 03.10.2019, has been received in the context of the FIR, stating therein that the statements of the complainant, i.e. respondent no.2, Narinder Singh, as also of the accused, Santokh Singh and Kulwant Singh, (the petitioners herein) were recorded before that court in respect of the compromise reached between them and as per the assessment of that court the compromise so reached is of the own free will of the parties.

It has also been stated in the report, that as per the report of ASI Sarwan Singh, before that court, all the accused and the complainant are party to the compromise and none of them had been declared to be proclaimed offenders.

Similarly as regards the accompanying petition in which the complainant in the FIR is the accused in the cross-version thereto, a separate report of the learned JMIC, of the same date, is also on record, to the effect

that the parties have indeed settled the matter with each other of their own free will.

That being so, also looking at the nature of the offences, these petitions are allowed, with FIR No.67, dated 21.06.2018, as also the cross-version thereto, recorded vide DDR No.25 dated 22.06.2018, both registered at Police Station Shri Hargobindpur, Police District Batala, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under the provisions of Sections 323/324/34 of the IPC, along with all proceedings emanating therefrom, are hereby quashed.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the connected case.

April 09, 2021

dharamvir/

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether Reportable : YES/NO